# A GEOCHEMICAL INVESTIGATION OF PADDY (P) AND NON-PADDY (NP) SOILS ON A CATENA: A CASE STUDY OF GHAEMSHAHR IN MAZANDARAN PROVINCE, IRAN

Mahmoudi Lamouki, M. $^1$  – Mahmoudi, S. $^2$  – Khormali, F. $^{3*}$  – Cherati Araei, A. $^4$ 

<sup>1</sup>Department of Soil Science, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University Tehran, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Department of Soil Science, Tehran University, Tehran, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Department of Soil Science, Gorgan University of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources Gorgan, Iran

<sup>4</sup>Department of Soil and Water, Mazandaran Agricultural and Natural Resources Research and Education Center, AREEO, Sari, Iran

> \*Corresponding author e-mail: khormali@yahoo.com

(Received 18<sup>th</sup> Dec 2017; accepted 3<sup>rd</sup> Apr 2018)

Abstract. Paddy soils are a main soil resource for food production and they cover a large area of the world's surface. A geochemistry study was performed on a catenary sequence of Paddy and Non-Paddy soils in the lowland and upland areas of Ghaemshahr City in Mazandaran Province, Iran. 10 soil profiles were investigated and then, a comparison was made between the Paddy and Non-Paddy soils based on their geochemical compositions and developments. Soil clay mineralogical analysis showed that the Non-Paddy soils had a large amount of vermiculite, whereas smectite was the dominant clay mineral of the Paddy soils. The cation exchange capacity, clay content, and bulk density are higher in the Paddy soils. Rice cultivation increases the amount of amorphous Fe and decreases the amount of free Fe-oxide. The results of free Fe-oxide and amorphous Fe assessments, revealed that the Non-Paddy and upland soils were more developed than the Paddy and lowland soils. The mean concentrations of the major elements did not show any significant differences between the two types of soils. The mean values of all the heavy metals, except for Mn and As, in the Paddy soils were lower than those of the Non-Paddy soils. The reason for the reduction of heavy metals in the P compared to NP soils was their probable relationships with Fe/Mn oxide minerals and subsequent leaching. Based on principal component analysis, the differences between the Paddy and Non-Paddy soils were related to Mn and Fe group elements. The results indicated that the concentrations of the elements and other properties of the Paddy soils were dependent on the soil parent materials, especially on the textures of the sedimentary layers. Keywords: geochemistry, heavy metal, principal component analysis, soil development, smectite

Introduction

The chemical composition of soil in primary stage of pedogenesis, will be reflect the chemical composition of the parent material, whereas the chemical composition of adult soils highly controls by the factors of weathering environment (Thanachit et al., 2006). Rice is the main source of food for more than half of the world's population and is cultivated on almost 155 million hectares in 114 countries (Kögel-Knabner et al., 2010). Paddy soils are anthropogenic soils widely distributed in eastern Asia and some other parts of the world (Huang et al., 2015). The formation of P soil, which is hydromorphic, is severely influenced by anthropogenic factors (Gong, 1983). It is not a natural soil and can be strongly affected by such management techniques as leveling, tillage, puddling,

submerging (Wissing et al., 2013), and the use of fertilizers. Its formation is also enforced by a special management, which can lead to its characteristic redoximorphic features (Kölbl et al., 2014). Frequent flooding leads to anoxic situation in the soil and decrease rates of organic matter decomposition (Sahrawat, 2005), while it exacerbates mineral weathering (Nanzyo et al., 1999) and leaching process.

In paddy rice management, soils are held submerged for several months of the year and as a result, their geochemical, micromorphological, and mineralogical features undergo some changes triggered by periodic submergence and drainage. Moreover, P soils grow from various parent materials and may be extremely altered by their chemical compositions, mineralogies, and other properties, such as texture (Kyuma, 2004; Chandrajid, 2005; Prakongkep et al., 2008). Hasannezhad et al. (2008) and Sano et al. (2006) reported that clay mineralogy is further influenced by its parent material rather than by redoximorphic conditions. In contrast, Hasannezhad et al. (2008) found an enhanced formation of smectite that was caused by the hydromorphic conditions of the P soils developed on alluvial materials. Elements concentrations in P soils have been studied by Chandrajith et al. (2005), Prakongkep et al. (2008), and Halim et al. (2015). Prakongkep et al. (2008) discovered that the concentrations of the elements and other properties of Thai P soils frequently depended on their parent materials, especially on the textures of the alluvial layers.

Many P soils become contaminated with heavy metals (Wong et al., 2002; Kyuma, 2004), while some useful trace elements become deficient due to geochemical variations or crop harvest (Kon et al., 2001). The affluence of trace elements in tropical Asian P soils is significantly diverse. Trace elements in agronomical soils mainly depend on the geochemical compositions of their parent materials during the primary stage of tillage (De Temmerman et al., 2003). Mitchell (1974) reported that the total amounts of trace elements in soils basically depend on the types of their parent materials and on which pedogenic processes occur.

Halim et al. (2015) studied the heavy metals of P soils in Bangladesh and reported that the average amounts of Zn, As, Mn, Ni, Cu, Pb, and Cr found in the P soils and sub-soils were less than those causing the mean and moderate pollutions of the selected soils of the world. Domingo and Kyuma (1983), Wang et al. (2003), and Wong et al. (2002) investigated the concentrations of elements in the P soils of many tropical Asian countries and found significantly different abundances of trace elements in them. Each region was rich in some particular elements. Although the concentrations of trace elements in several parts of the world (Kabata-pendias, 2011), little research has been conducted in this field in Iran.

Therefore, this paper aimed to firstly evaluate the concentrations of the major and trace elements in the studied P soils and secondly compare the P and NP soils under study based on geochemistry and soil development.

### Material and methods

### Study area and sampling

The study area was located in Ghaemshahr, Mazandaran Province in northern Iran (*Fig. 1*). Its average annual temperature and precipitation are  $16.1^{\circ}$ C and 734 mm, respectively. The soils were developed under xeric and thermic soil moisture and temperature regimes, respectively (Banaei, 1998). The parent materials in the studied

area were derived from alluviums altered from primary rocks content calcite, dolomite and loess moved to this flatland. 10 representative profiles were selected along a catena based on their topography for this research: 5 pedons for P and 5 others for NP soils. Soil colors were determined by Munsell color system (Munsell, 2014). The soils were defined and classified based on Soil Taxonomy (Soil Survey Staff, 2014; *Table 1*).



Figure 1. Location of the study area and sampling sites along the 10 Pedons are indicated

# Physico-chemical analysis

Particle-size distribution was defined by the method of Bouyoucos hydrometer (Bouyoucos, 1962). The organic carbon contents of the soils were obtained through wet oxidation by using chromic acid and via return titration by using ferrous ammonium sulphate based on the method described by Nelson (1982). The pH of the soil samples was measured with a pH electrode in a suspension of 1:1 (soil: H<sub>2</sub>O). Sodium acetate (NaOAc) was applied at pH 8.2 to evaluate CEC (Chapman, 1965). A titration method was employed to assess carbonate content (US Salinity Laboratory Staff, 1954). In addition, the method of Mehra and Jackson (1960) was used to evaluate dithionite-extractable Fe (Fe<sub>d</sub>) for the bulk soil samples of < 2 mm. The taken samples were dried at 105°C for 24 h in an oven and weighted to determine Bulk Density (BD) through the method of paraffin sections (Blake and Hartge, 1986). Amorphous Fe (Fe<sub>o</sub>) was extracted by using 0.3 M of ammonium oxalate solution (pH 3) in a dark place (McKeague and Day, 1966).

# Mineralogical analysis

The method of Mehra and Jackson (1960) was utilized to eliminate the attaching chemical factors and dissociate the clay fractions (Kittrick and Hope, 1963). For clay discretion ( $< 2 \mu m$ ), centrifugation of the iron-lacking soil samples at 750 rpm was done for 5.4 min (Kittrick and Hope, 1963). A D8 ADVANCE X-ray (Bruker) diffractometer was used to perform an X-ray analysis on the fine and coarse clay fractions (Jackson, 1975). To make a credible comparison between the Relative Peak Intensities (RPIs) of

the different samples, we made use of equal concentrations of the clay suspensions. 001 reflections were considered after Mg and K saturations and Ethylene Glycol (EG) salvation. Perusing of the K-saturated samples was followed after performing the drying and heating processes at 330 °C and 550 °C for 4 h. An overnight treatment of the samples with 1 N HCl solution at 80 °C was carried out for kaolinite identification in the presence of trioctahedral chlorite. A semi-quantitative assessment of the clay minerals was conducted based on the respective peak areas obtained from X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) in the glycol-treated samples (Johns and Grim, 1954).

# Geochemical analysis

The geochemical analyses of the soil samples were done via X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) spectroscopy of the compacted powder/pellets (Nisbet et al., 1979) and aqua regia digestion with a blend of  $HClO_4$ ,  $HNO_3$ , HCl, and HF at 200 °C followed by ICP/OES and ICP/MS for the major and trace elements, respectively. Also, many trace elements were analyzed through Instrumental Neutron Activation Analysis (INAA) (Hoffman, 1992). The geochemical analyses were performed at the Actlabs laboratory (Ontario, Canada).

## Statistical analysis

The concentration of elements and physicochemical properties including texture and CEC of P and NP soils were statistically analyzed using Principle Component Analysis (PCA) with the SPSS (version 21). Also Independent Samples T-test was applied to compare the concentration of elements in P and NP soils and if there were significant differences between two land uses based on the concentration of elements by the SPSS (version 21).

# **Results and discussion**

# **Physico-chemical properties**

The major physicochemical and morphological properties of the studied soils are presented in Table 1. As depicted in this table, the soils have Munsell colors ranging from 2.5Y to 10YR. The P soils were poorly drained since displaying a 2.5Y hue resulted from the annual redoximorphic conditions over many years. The evidence showed that endosaturation was responsible for the redoximorphic properties of the soils. A considerable diversity was observed between the textures of the P and NP soils, from clay to silty clay loam. A higher amount of clay was found in the lowland area (Table 1). This could be explained by the depositions of the clay-size particles originated from the upland area (Khormali et al., 2009). Wilding et al. (1982) reported that soil texture in a lowland area is finer than in any other locations. According to Table 1, Profile NP<sub>5</sub> has a coarser texture compared with the other profiles (SiCL). The other soils have a relatively heavy texture (silty clay to clay). CaCO<sub>3</sub> percentage ranges from 2% in the C horizon of NP4 to 27% in the A horizon of P4. BD showed considerable differences in the 2 selected land uses. BD was higher in the P than in the NP soils. P<sub>2</sub> and NP<sub>2</sub> had the highest and lowest contents of BD, respectively. Soil compaction caused by tillage processes had increased BD in the P soils. According to Dang et al. (2002), tillage practices decrease soil Organic Matter (OM) and result in a higher BD and lower total porosity.

NP <sub>1</sub> , Haplaquolls	/0)								
A 0-20 10YR3/2 7.76 32 4 44 52 SiC 15 1	.26 1.78								
AB 20-40 2.5Y3/2 7.80 34.1 8 44 50 SiC 16 1	.19 1.81								
$B_{g}$ 40-60 2.5Y5/3 8.02 34.1 6 48 46 SiC 14 0	.86 1.84								
BC <sub>g</sub> 60-100 2.5Y4.5/3 8.22 34.5 6 44 50 SiC 18 2	.15 1.80								
P <sub>1</sub> , Haplaquepts									
A <sub>p</sub> 0-15 2.5Y4.5/4 7.79 41.8 10 32 58 C 19 1	.02 1.89								
AB <sub>g</sub> 15-40 2.5Y4.5/4 7.89 38 8 33 59 C 18 1	.06 1.96								
B <sub>g</sub> 40-70 2.5Y4.5/3 7.82 37 7 37 56 C 23 0	.16 1.95								
BC <sub>g</sub> 70-100 2.5Y4/2 7.93 32 8 42 50 SiC 20 0	.62 1.94								
NP <sub>2</sub> , Calciaquepts									
A 0-10 10YR4/4 6.04 38.3 14 40 46 SiC 4 3	.06 1.83								
AB         10-35         2.5Y3/2         7.65         35.8         10         41         49         SiC         7         1	.17 1.82								
$B_{kg1}$ 35-70         2.5Y4/2         7.57         30.0         14         42         44         SiC         18         0	.66 1.80								
$B_{kg2}$ 70-100         2.5Y4/1.5         7.50         34.9         13         42         45         SiC         14         0	.64 1.81								
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	.46 1.78								
P <sub>2</sub> , Haplaquepts									
A 0-20 10YR4/3 8.04 45 10 36 54 C 13 2	.03 1.99								
$B_{wg1} = 20-50 = 2.5Y3/4 = 7.83 = 35 = 12 = 38 = 58 = C = 5 = 0$	.47 1.99								
$B_{wg2} = 50-70 = 2.5Y3/4 = 7.78 = 28.3 = 14 = 40 = 56 = C = 5 = 1$	.40 1.91								
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	.63 1.89								
NP <sub>3</sub> , Calciaquolls									
A 0-25 10YR3/2 7.24 38 9 42 49 SiC 11 3	.54 1.78								
$B_{g} = 25-55 = 2.5Y3.5/2 = 7.80 = 33 = 11 = 41 = 48 = SiC = 13 = 0$	.78 1.72								
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	.51 1.70								
P <sub>3</sub> , Calciaquepts									
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	.62 1.90								
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	.24 1.92								
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	.62 1.91								
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	.64 1.89								
$NP_4$ , Haploxerolls	49 1 70								
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	.48 1.70 79 1.69								
$B_{w1} = 19-45 = 101 RS/5 = 7.78 = 28.7 = 17 = 45 = 40 = SiC = 10 = 0$	55 1.70								
$B_{w2}$ 45-05 101 K5/4 7.84 27.9 19 42 39 SICL 5 0	1.72								
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	).4 1.71								
P <sub>4</sub> , Endoaquepts									
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	.67 1.97								
$C_{B_g} = 18-45 = 2.514/4 = 7.85 = 52 = 17 = 50 = 55 = C = 24 = 0$ $C_{B_g} = 45.90 = 10 V R 4/6 = 7.77 = 30 = 26 = 34 = 40 = C = 25 = 0$	35 1 02								
$\frac{c_g}{NP_c} = \frac{101}{20} \frac{101}{101} $	.55 1.72								
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $									
AB 27-44 10YR3/3 7 81 29.9 18 43 39 SiCI 3 C	73 1 65								
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	).8 1.68								
CB 66-90 10YR3/3.5 7.65 22.8 21 37 42 C 13	.0 1.63								

Table 1. Morphological and physicochemical properties of the studied soils

APPLIED ECOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH 16(3):2487-2501. http://www.aloki.hu • ISSN 1589 1623 (Print) • ISSN 1785 0037 (Online) DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.15666/aeer/1603\_24872501 © 2018, ALÖKI Kft., Budapest, Hungary

P <sub>5</sub> , Haplaquepts											
A <sub>pg</sub>	0-21	2.5Y3.5/2	7.28	37	18	32	50	С	16	1.84	1.92
$B_{g1}$	21-47	2.5Y3/3	7.82	32	15	35	50	С	18	1.18	1.93
$B_{g2}$	47-65	2.5YR4/1	7.81	30	19	34	47	С	17	1.08	1.90
$C_{g}$	65-95	2.5YR3/1	7.92	30	20	35	45	С	10	0.62	1.91

<sup>a</sup>CEC: cation exchange capacity

<sup>b</sup>C: clay, si: silty, L: loam, S: sandy

<sup>c</sup>CCE: cation exchange capacity

<sup>a</sup>OC: organic carbon

<sup>e</sup>BD: bulk density

Bar graphs of mean values of  $Fe_d$  and  $Fe_o$  for surface horizon of soil profiles are shown in Figure 2. In most soils, based on morphological properties, pedogenic Fe and clay content, soil age is estimated (Costantini and Damiani, 2004). Dethier et al. (2012), reported that, the Dominant forms of Fe in soils, are Fe<sub>1</sub>, Fe<sub>0</sub>, Fe<sub>0</sub>. Therefore, (Fe<sub>d</sub>-Fe<sub>0</sub>) indicates crystalline form of Fe, Fe<sub>0</sub>/Fe<sub>d</sub> represents the degree of crystallinity of Fe oxides, and finally  $(Fe_d-Fe_o)/Fe_t$  indicates the ratio of crystalline to total Fe (Schaetzl, 2005). Rezapoor et al. (2009) studied different forms of Fe oxides and their distribution in calcareous soils in Northwest of Iran, and reported that, with increasing weathering, Fed increases and Feo/Fed decreases. In hydromorphic condition and deficiency of oxygen, Fe is converted to Fe (II), and in this condition, the possibility of forming a crystalline Fe oxides is lower, resulting in a higher Fe<sub>0</sub>/Fe<sub>d</sub> ratio. In this study, paddy soils have a higher amount of Fe<sub>o</sub> compared to non-paddy soils, whereas, non-paddy have a higher content of Fe<sub>d</sub>. B<sub>k</sub> horizon of NP<sub>5</sub> has a higher content of Fe<sub>d</sub> and lower content of Feo, whereas, Cg horizon of P1 has a lower content of Fed and a higher content of Fe<sub>o</sub>. The studied paddy soil samples have higher contents of Fe<sub>o</sub> results in higher ratios of Feo/Fed compared to non-paddy soils. Along the catena, from lowland to upland, this ratio always decreases, which is probably an indication of higher weathering in upland soils compared to the lowland soils.



Figure 2. Bar graphs of mean values of  $Fe_d$  and  $Fe_o$  for surface horizon of soil profiles

### Mineralogical characteristics

*Figure 3* demonstrates the results of X-ray diffractograms recorded in the selected P and NP soils. Two cases of peaks were shown for almost identical results. As can be observed, illite, chlorite, kaolinite, smectite, and vermiculite exist in the clay fraction.

The main mineral found in the P soils was smectite whereas in NP soils was illite. Illite and chlorite as clay minerals are mostly seen in areas with a limited process of soil formation (Wilson, 1999). Their existence in parent materials mostly determines their abundance in soils. The P soils in this study displayed a higher amount of smectite due to their several months of poor drainage and saturation each year (*Fig. 3b*). In these conditions, smectite neo-formation had occurred due to the reduction of some iron in the presence of some soluble ions like Al, Mg, and Si coming from the adjacent uplands (Borchardt, 1989). Small amounts of kaolinite were also found in all the studied soil profiles. In the NP soils, vermiculite was present in large amounts (*Fig. 3a*). Vermiculite occurrence in these soils was mainly caused by high leaching conditions and K removal from mica (Douglas, 1989).



*Figure 3.* X-Ray diffraction patterns of clay fraction for the representative soil profiles. (a) Bkg<sub>1</sub> horizon of NP<sub>2</sub> and (b) Bwg horizon of P<sub>2</sub>

### Geochemical analysis

The full geochemical analyses of the soils are not demonstrated because of the large data set obtained, but the mean concentrations of the P and NP soils are exhibited in *Table 2*. Si, Al, Ca, Fe, Mg, and K were the most elements found in both P and NP soils. None of the major element concentrations showed any significant differences between the P and NP soils. The contents of Ba, Cs, S, and Zr in the P soils were higher, but Br and Rb amounts were lower than those of the NP soils. The mean values of all the heavy metals in the P soils, except for Mn and As, were lower than those of the NP soils.

Strong associations were found between Fe/Mn oxides and other heavy metals in the P soils. In paddy rice management, soils are commonly submerged and thus, excessive soil water may elevate leaching and migration of soluble heavy metals. Talyor and Mckenzie (1966) discovered that Co content was dependent on Mn oxide minerals. Therefore, the lower heavy metal contents in the P compared to the NP soils could be ascribed to Fe/Mn oxide minerals.

The mean concentrations of Si, Mn, Mg, As, Co, Cr, Cs, Cu, Ni, and Rb in the studied soils were higher than the amounts reported for normal surface soils worldwide

(Essington, 2004; Kabata-Pendias, 2011), whereas the mean values of Mn, Ti, As, Co, Cr, Cu, Ni and Zn were higher than the amounts reported for the other contaminated P soils (Wong et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2003; Chandrajith et al., 2005). Only Pb concentration was lower than those of the global and other unpolluted P soils. The amounts of other elements in the studied P soils were comparable to the values obtained for the normal surface soils and P soils in the world. Pb concentration is lower than both worldwide and other unpolluted paddy soils. In comparison to the critical soil concentration values established, only Cr values were higher (Alloway, 1995).

Element	Mean values for non-paddy soils	Mean values for paddy soils	Mean values for uncontaminated paddy soils <sup>a</sup>	Mean values for normal surface soils worldwide <sup>b</sup>	Critical soil concentration <sup>c</sup>			
(g kg <sup>-1</sup> )								
SiO2	509.6	507.75		250-410				
A12O3	148.7	137.48		10-300				
Fe2O3	62.9	60.32		2-550				
MnO	1.08	1.21	0.39	0.27-0.53	1.5-3			
MgO	23.4	23.46		0.4-9				
CaO	66.7	78.9		0.7-500				
Na2O	8.7	9.4		0.15-25				
K2O	24.9	23.31		0.08-37				
TiO2	8.3	8.3	5.4	2.3-26				
P2O5	1.9	2.13		0.035-5.3				
(mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )								
As	12.55	13.33	1.7	4.4-9.3				
Ba	403.33	416.11		175-520				
Be	2.11	2.11		0.35-3.52				
Br	14.11	11.11		1-110				
Co	21.66	21.33	10.2	4.5-12	25-50			
Cr	112.44	108.33	64	12-83	75-100			
Cs	9.22	9.55		5.06				
Cu	45.88	40.88	20.7	13-24	60-125			
Ni	61.77	57.44	25.5	13-34	100			
Pb	20.11	17.88	23.3	22-44	100-400			
Rb	160	158.88		30-120	70-400			
S	340	400		30-1600				
Sr	209	254.11		87-210				
Zn	101.11	93.55	61	45-100				
Zr	152.8	170.11		60-2000				

**Table 2.** The mean values of some oxides and elements in studied P and NP soil samples, mean values for uncontaminated P soils, mean values of normal surface soils worldwide and critical soil concentration for contaminated soils

<sup>a</sup>Data from Wong et al. (2002); Wang et al. (2003); Chandrajith et al. (2005)

<sup>b</sup>Data from Essington (2004); Kabata-Pendias (2011)

<sup>c</sup>Data from Alloway (1995)

### Independent Samples T-test

An Independent Samples T-test was applied to compare the concentration of elements in P and NP soils and if there were significant differences between two land uses based on the concentration of elements. Levene's test was conducted to evaluate homogeneity of variance. Results are presented in *Table 3*. As seen in *Table 3*, Result of Levene and T-test of all elements were not statistically significant (p > 0.05). The results indicated that there was no significant difference between the mean (M) and standard deviation (SD) of concentration of elements in P and NP soils.

<b>F</b> 14	P soils		NP soils		16			
Element	$M^{a}$	$SD^b$	М	SD	aj	ľ	р	
SiO2	507.75	41.40	509.60	57.20	16	0.080	0.937	
A12O3	137.48	14.80	148.70	10.80	16	-1.840	0.084	
Fe2O3	60.32	4.70	62.90	6.00	16	-1.010	0.326	
MnO	1.21	0.23	1.08	0.20	16	1.160	0.263	
MgO	23.46	3.40	23.40	2.20	16	0.490	0.961	
CaO	78.90	20.00	66.70	23.60	16	1.170	0.256	
Na2O	9.40	1.90	8.70	3.00	16	0.615	0.547	
K2O	23.31	2.90	24.90	2.60	16	-1.240	0.233	
TiO2	8.30	0.49	8.30	0.52	16	-0.138	0.892	
P2O5	2.13	0.35	1.90	0.25	16	1.150	0.265	
As	13.33	2.23	12.55	3.04	16	0.618	0.546	
Ba	416.11	53.14	403.33	43.28	16	0.559	0.584	
Be	2.11	0.33	2.11	0.33	16	0.000	1.000	
Br	11.11	4.70	14.11	4.25	16	-1.41	0.175	
Со	21.33	2.59	21.66	2.17	16	0.295	0.772	
Cr	108.33	6.51	112.44	6.26	16	-1.364	0.191	
Cs	9.55	1.50	9.22	2.04	16	0.393	0.699	
Cu	40.88	5.62	45.88	9.95	16	-1.312	0.208	
Ni	57.44	5.29	61.77	6.18	16	-1.690	0.130	
Pb	17.88	3.01	20.11	2.52	16	0.080	0.109	
Rb	158.88	24.72	160.00	46.36	16	0.063	0.950	
S	400.00	16.00	340.00	15.00	16	0.919	0.372	
Sr	254.11	51.20	209.00	40.15	16	2.080	0.054	
Zn	93.55	10.00	101.11	13.05	16	-1.370	0.187	
Zr	170.11	41.78	152.80	21.46	16	1.107	0.285	

**Table 3.** Independent Samples T-test of some oxides and elements between studied P and NP soil samples

<sup>a</sup>Mean

<sup>b</sup>Standard deviation

### Parent material uniformity

The relationship between the total amounts of Ti and Zr was applied as an index of uniformity for soil parent materials (Alonso et al., 1991). As shown in *Figure 4*,  $P_1$  and NP<sub>1</sub> have the Ti/Zr ratios of about 56-58 and 59-60 through their profiles in the lowland

area, respectively. In P<sub>3</sub> and NP<sub>3</sub>, Ti/Zr ratios are relatively constant around 52-53 and 56-59, respectively. Finally, in the upland region, Ti/Zr ratios in P<sub>5</sub> and NP<sub>5</sub> are nearly 47-54 and 48-51, respectively. Few differences were observed in Ti/Zr ratios in the C compared to the other horizons, which demonstrated uniformity of the parent materials. Nable et al. (1999) and Marques et al. (2004) reported that the slight variations of 5 and 16 units respectively in Ti/Zr ratios in the profiles indicate the uniformity of parent materials. Therefore, the studied soil parent materials were uniform.



Figure 4. Bar gragh of Ti/Zr ratio of studied paddy and non-paddy soils

# Principal component analysis

Principal component analysis (PCA) was applied to assess the elements under similar geochemical treatments and group the soil samples according to their geochemical associations (Weber and Davis, 1990; Bellehumeur et al., 1994). PCA of the concentrations of the introduced elements and physicochemical properties, including texture and CEC, were applied for all the P and NP soils. The PCA results of the concentrations of the elements and physicochemical properties of the NP soils are displayed in Figure 5b. 2 factors illustrated only 72% of variation in the data. Finally, 4 affinity groups were identified. The first group was composed of Si, Zr, and Na relevant to the textures of the soils. Quartz  $(SiO_2)$  was the basic mineral in the sand fraction. Zr was a residual element in the soils, the main (Stiles et al., 2003; Kabata-Pendias, 2011) and abundant (Lukashev and Pietukhova, 1974) mineral of which is zircon ( $ZrSiO_4$ ) in sandy soils. The second group contained Ca, Co, and Fe. The reasons for the presence of Ca in this group were unknown. The third group included CEC, Zn, Cs, and Br and the last group was comprised of clay, Al, K, Ni, Mg, Cr, Sr, and Ba. This group was associated with clay content since the mentioned elements were parts of oxides and clay minerals (Kabata-Pendias, 2011; Sterckeman et al., 2006).

The PCA results of the concentrations of the elements and physicochemical properties of the P soils are exhibited in *Figure 5b*. 68% of variation in the data for these soils was illustrated by 2 factors. 3 groups of properties were distinguished. The first group contained sand, Na, Zr, and Si, which were linked to the textures of the soils. The second group consisted of CEC, clay, Al, Fe, K, Ni, As, Cs, Co, Pb, Zn, Rb, Ba, Mn, and Mg. Al, K, Rb, and Cs were related to feldspar and clay contents (Sterckeman et al., 2006; Kabata-Pendias, 2011). Being parts of the group of subordinate oxides, Mn, Pb, Zn, Ni, Zn, Ni, Co, As, and Cu were linked with Fe since they can be exchanged with Fe in the oxide structure (Kabata-Pendias, 2011). Manganese is responsible for the

great degree of assembly of Mn concretion with some trace elements, especially with Pb, Cr, and As. Moreover, the oxidation of these metals by Mn oxides probably controls their redox behaviors in soils (Bartlett, 1986). The third group included Ca, Sr, Br, and S. Strontium belongs to the alkaline earth metals and has the same behavior as Ca. Its physical properties, particularly the size of its ionic radius, are partly similar to those of Ca, indicating their possibility of being replaced with each other (Kabata-Pendias, 2011).



*Figure 5.* PCA results for the chemical composition and physicochemical properties of studied soils: (a) distribution of elements and soil properties of non-paddy soils (b) distribution of elements and soil properties of paddy soils

Based on PCA, the difference between the P and NP soils was related to the group elements of Mn and Fe. In the P soils, most of the heavy metals were gathered in one group and linked with Fe and Mn, while they were diffused in the NP soils. Mn and Fe are the most frequent metals in the lithosphere and thus, their oxides and hydroxides cause the solubility or alluviation of heavy metals in soils (Jounes and Jacobson, 2009). These oxides and hydroxides also play a main role in As, Pb, Cu, Zn, Cr, and Ni preservations in soils (Aydinalp and Marinova, 2003).

#### Conclusions

This research revealed that the concentrations of the elements and other properties of P soils depend on soil parent materials, especially the textures of sedimentary layers. Ti/Zr ratio confirmed uniformity of the parent materials along the catena and within the profiles. Profiles  $P_5$  and  $NP_5$  with a deep water table were the most weathered soils based on Fe<sub>d</sub> and Fe<sub>o</sub> values. The studied profiles had higher Si amounts and lower Ca, Mg, and K contents compared with the other pedons of the catena; however, Al and Fe amounts were uniform. Profiles  $P_1$  and  $NP_1$  were less weathered because they had the lowest positions in the landscape with shallower water tables. In the collation between the P and NP soils, the Ca, S, Sr, Ba, and Zr contents of the former soils were found to be higher than those of the latter soils. Contrarily, the amounts of Cr, V, and some heavy metals, including Pb, Ni, Cu, and Zn were higher in the latter soils compared to NP soils was their probable relationships with Fe/Mn oxide minerals and

subsequent leaching. Clay mineralogy revealed that Smectite amount was higher in the P soils because of its poor annual drainage and saturation for a long period of time. Vermiculite was the dominant clay mineral under well-drained conditions. There were two reasons for finding no significant differences between the P and NP soils under study based on geochemical properties: 1) short period of rice cultivation; and 2) lack of any significant differences between them in terms of drainage.

We suggested that complementary studies, including microprobe analysis, be performed on thin sections of the studied soils in order to determine if the smectite in clay fraction of soils is formed from other minerals deformation or in situ formation.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Alloway, B. (1995): Heavy Metals in Soil. Springer, New York.
- [2] Alonzo, P., Dorronsoro, C., Gonzalez, M., et al. (1991): Homogeneity/heterogeneity in alluvial terraces materials Tormes River. Soil and Plant 1: 775-791.
- [3] Aydinalp, C., Marinova, S. (2003): Distribution and forms of heavy metals in some agricultural soils. Pol J Environ Std 12: 629-633.
- [4] Banaei, M. H. (1998): Soil moisture and temperature regime map of Iran. Soil and Water Research Institute, Ministry of Agriculture, Iran.
- [5] Bartlett, R. J. (1986): Soil Redox Behavior. In: Sparks, D. J. (ed.) Soil Physical Chemistry. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- [6] Bellehumeur, C., Marcotte, D., Jebrak, M. (1994): Multi-element relationship and spatial structures of regional geochemical data form stream sediments, Southwestern Quebec Canada. Journal of Geochemical Exploration 51: 11-35.
- Blake, G. R., Hartge, K. H. (1986): Bulk Density. In: Klute, A. (ed.). Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 1. Physical and Mineralogical Method, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed, Agronomy 9, pp. 363-382. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI.
- [8] Borchart, G. (1989): Smectite. In: Dixon, J. B., Weed, S. B. (eds.), Minerals in Soil Environments, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., pp. 635-674. Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI.
- [9] Bouvet, A., Toan, T. L. (2011): Use of ENVISAT/ASTAR wide-swath data for timely rice fields mapping in the Mekong River Delta. Remote Sensing of Environment 115: 1090-1101.
- [10] Bouyoucos, G. J. (1962): Hydrometer method improved for making particle size analysis of soils. – Agron. Jour. 54: 464-465.
- [11] Chandrajith, R., Dissanayake, C. B., Tobscholl, H. J. (2005): The abundances of rarer trace elements in paddy (rice) soils of Sri Lanka. Chemosphere 58: 1415-1420.
- [12] Chapman, H. D. (1965): Cation Exchange Capacity. In: Black, C. A. (ed.), Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2., pp. 891-901. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI.
- [13] Costantini, A. C., Damiani, D. (2004): Clay minerals and the development of Quaternary soils in central Italy. – Revista Mexicana de Ciencias Geologicas 21: 144-159.
- [14] Dang, V. M., Anderson, D, W., Farrell, R. E. (2002): Indicators for assessing soil quality after long-term tea cultivation in Northern Mountainous Vietnam. – Proceedings of the 17<sup>th</sup> WCSS, Thailand, pp. 14-21.
- [15] De Temmerman, L., Vanongeval, L., Boon, W., Hoenig, M., Geypens, M. (2003): Heavy metal content of arable soils in Northern Belgium. Water Air Soil Pollut 148(1): 61-76.
- [16] Detier, D. P., Birkeland, P. W., Mc Carth, J. A. (2012): Using the accumulation of CBDextractable iron and clay content to estimate soil age on stable surfaces and nearby slopes, Front Range, Colorado. – Geomorphology 173-174: 17-29.
- [17] Domingo, L. E., Kyuma, K. (1983): Trace elements in tropical Asian paddy soils I. total trace element status. – Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 29: 439-452.

http://www.aloki.hu • ISSN 1589 1623 (Print) • ISSN 1785 0037 (Online)

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.15666/aeer/1603\_24872501

© 2018, ALÖKI Kft., Budapest, Hungary

APPLIED ECOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH 16(3):2487-2501.

- [18] Douglas, L. A. (1989): Vermiculites. In: Dixon, J. B., Weed, S. B. (eds.), Minerals in Soil Environments, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., pp. 635-674. Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI.
- [19] Essington, M. E. (2004): Soil and Water Chemistry: An Integrative Approach. CRC Press LLC, USA.
- [20] Gong, Z. T. (1983): Pedogenesis of paddy soils and its significance in soil classification. - Soil Sciences 135 (1): 5-10.
- [21] Halim, M. A., Majumder, R. K., Zaman, M. N. (2015): Paddy soil heavy metal contamination and uptake in rice plants from the adjacent area of Barapukuria coal mine, northwest Bangladesh. – Arab J Geosci 8: 3391-3401.
- [22] Hassannezhad, H., Pashaee, A., Khormali, F., Mohammadian, M. (2008): Effect of soil moisture regime and rice cultivation on mineralogical characteristics of paddy soils of Mazandaran province, Northern Iran. – Amol. J. Soil Sci. 3: 138-148.
- [23] Hoffman, E. L. (1992): Instrumental neutronactivation geoanalysis. Journal of Geochemical Exploration 44: 297-319.
- [24] Huang, L. M., Thompson, A., Zhang, G. L., Chen, L. M., Han, G. Z., Gong, Z. T. (2015): The use of chronosequences in studies of paddy soil evolution: A review. – Geoderma 237-238: 199-210.
- [25] Jackson, M. L. (1975): Soil Chemical Analysis. Advanced Course. Univ of Wisconsin, College of Agric., Dept. of Soils. Madison, WI.
- [26] Jones, W. D., Grime, R. E., Bradley, F. (1954): Quantitative estimation of clay minerals by diffraction methods. Journal of Sedimentary Petrology 24: 242-251.
- [27] Jouns, C., Jacobson, J. (2009): Micronutrients: Cycling, Testing and Fertilizer Recommendations. Nutrient Management Modules 7, #4449-7. – Montana State University Extension Service, Bozeman, Montana.
- [28] Kabata-pendias, A. (2011): Trace elements in soils and plants. CRC Press LLC, USA.
- [29] Khormali, F., Ajami, M., Ayoubi, S., Srinivasarao, C. H., Wani, S. P. (2009): Role of deforestation and hillslope position on soil quality attributes of loess-derived soils in Golestan province, Iran. – Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 134: 178-189.
- [30] Kittrick, J. A., Hope, E. W. (1963): A procedure for the particle size separation of soils for X-ray diffraction analysis. – Soil Science 96: 312-325.
- [31] Kögel-Knabner, I., Amelung, W., Cao, Z., Fiedler, S., Frenzel, P., Jahn, R., Kalbitz, K., Kölbl, A., Schloter, M. (2010): Biogeochemistry of paddy soils. – Geoderma 157: 1-14.
- [32] Kölbl, A., Schad, P., Jahn, R., Amelung, W., Bannert, A., Cao, Z. H., Fiedler, S., Kalbitz, K., Lehndorff, E., Müller-Niggemann, C., Schloter, M., Schwark, L., Vogelsang, V., Wissing, L., Kögel-knabner, I. (2014): Accelerated soil formation due to paddy management on marshlands (Zhejiang Province, China). Geoderma 228-229: 67-89.
- [33] Kon, C. L., Wang, Y. Q., Lian, D. J. (2001): Effects of fertilization structure on spatial variation of microelements in soils. – Chinese J. Soil Sci 32(1): 35-38.
- [34] Kyuma, K. (2004): Paddy soil science. Kyoto University Press, Japan.
- [35] Lukashev, K. J., Pietukhova, N. N. (1974): Minor elements in landscapes of Byelorussian S. S. R. – Pochvovedenie 8: 47.
- [36] Marques, J. J., Schulze, D. G., Guri, N., Mertzman, S. A. (2004): Major element gheochemistry and geomorphic relationship in Brazilian. Geoderma 119: 179-195.
- [37] McKeague, J. K., Day, J. H. (1966): Dithionite-and oxalate-extractable Fe and Al as aids in differentiating various classes of soils. Can. J. Soil Sci. 46: 13-22.
- [38] Meharg, A. A., Norton, G., Deacon C., Williams P., Adomako, E. E., Price A., Zhu, Y. G., Li, G., Zhao, F. J., Mc Grath, S., Villada, A., Sommella, A., De Silva, P. M. C. S., Brammer, H., Dasgupta, T., Islam, M. R. (2013): Variation in rice cadmium related to human exposure. Environ. Sci. Technol. 47 (11): 5613-5618.
- [39] Mehra, O. P., Jacson, M. L. (1960): Iron oxide removal from soils and clays by a dithionite citrate with sodium bicarbonate. Clays Clay Miner 7: 317-324.
- [40] Mitchell, R. L. (1974): Trace element problems in Scotish soils. Netherlands Journal of Agricultural Science 22: 7-33.

http://www.aloki.hu • ISSN 1589 1623 (Print) • ISSN 1785 0037 (Online)

APPLIED ECOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH 16(3):2487-2501.

- [41] Munsell, A. H. (2014): Color Balance Illustrated: An Introduction to the Munsell System. – Literary Licensing LLC, Whitefish, MT.
- [42] Nabel, P. E., Morras, H. J. M., Petersen, N., Zech, W. (1999): Correlation of magnetic and lithologic features of soils and Quaternary sediments from the Undulating Pampa, Argentina. Journal of South American Earth Sciences 12: 311-323.
- [43] Nanzyo, M., Nakamara, Y., Yamasaki, S. L., Saonte, H. P. (1999): Effect of reducing conditions on the weathering of Fe<sup>3+</sup> -rich biotite in the new lahar deposite from Mt. Pinatubo, Philippines. – Soil Sci. 164: 206-214.
- [44] Nelson, D. W., Sommers, L. E. (1982): Total Carbon, Organic Carbon, and Organic Matter. – In: Page, A. L., Miller, R. H., Keeney, D. R. (eds.) Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties. 2nd ed. Agronomy 9, pp. 539-579. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI
- [45] Nisbet, E. G., Dietrich, V. J., Esenwein, A. (1979): Routine trace element determination in silicate minerals and rocks by X-ray florescence. Fortschr. Mineral. 57: 264-279.
- [46] Prakongkep, N., Suddhiprakarn, A., Kheoruenromne, I., Smirk, M., Gilkes, R. J. (2008): The geochemistry of Thai paddy soils. Geoderma 144: 310-324.
- [47] Rezapoor, S., Jafarzade, A., Samadi, A., Oustan, S. (2009): Distribution of iron oxide forms on a transect of calcareous soils, north-west of Iran. – Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science 56(2): 165-182.
- [48] Sahrawat, K. L. (2005): Fertility and organic matter in submerged rice soil. Curr. Sci. 88: 735-739.
- [49] Salinity Laboratory Staff (1954): Diagnosis and Improvement of Saline and Alkali Soils. Handbook No. 60. – United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC.
- [50] Sano, O., Ito, T., Ando, T., Nanzyo, M., Satio, G., Satio, K., Saggusa, M. (2006): Clay mineralogical composition map of paddy soils in Miyagi Prefecture, Northeastern Japan.
   18<sup>th</sup> World Congress of Soil Science July 9-15, 2006, pp. 115-133. Philadelphia, Pensylvania, USA.
- [51] Schaetzl, R. J., Anderson, S. (2005): Soils: Genesis and Geomorphology. Cambridge University Press, U.K.
- [52] Soil Survey Staff (2014): Keys to Soil Taxonomy. 12<sup>th</sup> ed. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC.
- [53] Sterckeman, T., Dounay, F., Baize, D., Fourrior, H., Proix, N., Schvartz, C. (2006): Trace elements in soils developed in sedimentary materials from Northern France. – Geoderma 136: 912-929.
- [54] Stiles, C. A., Mora, C. I., Dries, S. G. (2003): Pedogenic processes and domain boundaries in a vertisol climosequence: from titanium and zirconium distribution and morphology. Geoderma 116: 279-299.
- [55] Taylor, R. M., Mckenzie, R. M. (1966): The association of trace elements with manganese minerals in Australian soils. Australian Journal of Soil Presearch 4: 29-39.
- [56] Thanachit, S., Suddhiprakarn, A., Kheoruenromne, I., Gilkes, R. J. (2006): The geochemistry of soils on a catena on basalt at Khon Buri, northeast Thailand. Geoderma 135: 81-96.
- [57] Wang, X. C., Yan, W. D., An, Z., Lu, Q., Shi, W. M., Cao, Z. H., Wong, M. H. (2003): Status of trace elements in paddy soil and sediment in Taihu lake region. – Chemosphere 50: 707-710.
- [58] Weber, L., Davis, J. C. (1990): Multivariate statistical analysis of stream sediment geochemistry in the Grazer Palaozoikum, Austria. Miner. Depos. 25: 213-220.
- [59] Wilding, N. E., Smeck, A., Hall, G. F. (1982): Pedogenesis and Soil Taxonomy. II. The Soil Orders. Developments in Soil Science 116. – Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- [60] Wilson, M. J. (1999): The origin and formation of clay minerals in soils: past, present and future perspectives. Clay Minerals 34: 7-24.

- [61] Wissing, L., Kölble, A., Häusler, W., Schad, P., Cao, Z., and Kögel-Knabner, I. (2013): Management induced organic carbon accumulation in paddy soils: the role of organomineral associations. – Soil Tillage Research. 126: 60-71.
- [62] Wong, S. C., Li, X., Zhang, G., Qi, S. H., Min, Y. S. (2002): Heavy metal in agricultural soils of the Pearl River Delta, South China. Environmental Pollution 119: 33-44.