Gábor ERDEI

Budapest, 2013. 6. évfolyam 2. szám

#### THE PLACE OF CRIME GEOGRAPHY IN THE SCIENCE OF GEOGRAPHY

The author of this article is trying to find the right place of the crime geography within the science. He makes conclusions and deductions on the base of different researches made on crime and geographical situation. The article is presenting the territorial differences of the crime using the caracters of crime. The crime geography can be regarded as a branch of geography of sociology which deals with the time and place aspects of the crime as an social public phenomenon. Between criminology and social geography there is a mutual connection. The crime register is a fundamental part of crime geography. Thy system of crime datas can be completed by datas deriving from data collection which helps the crime prevention and scouting.

#### **CRIME GEOGRAPHY**

The geography is dealing with the description, interpretation and scientific evaluation of eternal change of the complex geographical tegument of the earth. It pays a special attention to the mutual reactions between the geographical enviroment and the society existing in it. The major task of geography is the scientific examination special processes taking place in geographical tegument.

The geopgraphy resumes and convesy those knowledges needed for the man to have a real picture of the word. To get to know the natural phenomenoms of geographical tegument we need to use the isntruments of national science, the methods of natural science based on experiences and experiments. So we can sya that the natural geography belongs to natural sciences.

The social geography, which examines the relations between geographical environment and the society, is resolving its research tasks using methods and means of the social geography. The regional geography is the unification of natural and social fields. The spacial units of the regional geography are: landscapes, micro regions, regions, countries.

The sectorial geography has to form the types of phemomenoms, discovering and systemizing the correlations between them (http://ttmk.nyme.hu/)1.

After this brief review of geography we can ask: what is the situation of crime geography within the science? The anwer to this question is not easy at all. Several scientists have tried to define the position of crime geography. Tóth Antal (2007)<sup>2</sup> provides a detailed picture of definitions and statements of previous scientists in his effort to determine where exactly the crime geography belongs to

Kerezsi Klára's view (2003)<sup>3</sup> is that the crime geography is part of criminality, but the crime map is an instrument used in geography. According to Hentig (1961)<sup>4</sup> the crime geography is nothing else than a map deriving from comparison of climate, land, landscape, history, economy and demographical datas.

Herold (1973)<sup>5</sup> examined the connection between crime and geographical place ont the base ov crime datas from which the volume of crime could be determined. In his work in 1977<sup>6</sup> he determines the crime geography as something which helps the scouting activity.

Helmer (1972)<sup>7</sup> says that the crime geography is part of criminality and not of geography and the crime geography presents the territorial differences of police work ont he base of criminal caracters.

http://ttmk.nye.hu/: A regionális és az általános ágazati földrajz feladata (megnyitva: 2011. 02. 23.)

Tóth A.(2007): A bűnözés térbeli aspektusainak szociálgeográfiai vizsgálata Hajdú-Bihar megyében Debreceni Egyetem pp.8-10.

Kerezsi K.(2003): A kriminológiai és a büntetőpolitika kapcsolata. Kriminológiai tanulmányok 40. OKRI, Budapest, pp. 121-159.

Henting, H.V. (1961): Der kriminelle Mensch im Kräftespiel von Zeit und Raum. - In: Das Verbrechen 1. Berlin, pp. 212.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Herold, H (1973): Földrajz és bűnözés. Belügyi Szemle Tájékoztató 17. szám pp. 79-85.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Herold, H. (1977): Die Bedeutung der Kriminalgeographie für die polizeiliche Praxis.Kriminalistik 7. pp. 289-296.

Gábor ERDEI

Budapest, 2013. 6. évfolyam 2. szám

Rolfes, M(2003)<sup>8</sup> says that the crime geography can be "drawn" without geographers.

Schwind (2000)<sup>9</sup> thinks that the crime geography is one branch of criminality. To its interpretation it is necessary to use the units of time, space and attitude.

Kobolka István (2003)<sup>10</sup> considers that the crime geography can be united to the security geography, partialdiscipline of geography.

Herbert (1982)<sup>11</sup> and Kovács Zoltán (1990)<sup>12</sup> state that crime geography is a new direction of social geography which touches several other sciences between social geography and criminology.

Berényi István (1992)<sup>13</sup> suggests that gography of cime interpreted as a branch of the social geographical, exploring the space, the relationship between crime and social groups.


Mátyás Szabolcs (2007)<sup>14</sup> declares that the crime geography is an artificially created science which uses the common knowledges of criminal sciences and the geography.

In my interpretation the crime geography is a branch of social geography which examines the spacial and territorial aspects of crime as a social mass reality. Between the criminology and the social geography there is a mutual correlation.


I strongly agree with those scientists who don't think that the crime geography is not an indipendent science mainly because it uses the knowledges criminology, sociology and social geography.

There is no doubt that the crime geography is very close to social geography and crime science that is why knowing these fields is needed to draw the crime map. Sociology focuses on different aspects of crime, be it at local or social level.

Besides this the crime geography uses demography, etnography, psycology, achitecture, cartography and space-informatics.



Street crimes in Hungary



Violence against the person in Hungary

(http://www.hir24.hu/baleset-bunugy/2012/12/17/sokkolo-magyarorszag-bunugyi-terkepe) 15

Hellmer, J. (1972): Kriminalitätsatlas der Bundesrepublik Deutschland und West-Berlins. Ein Beitrag zur Kriminalgeographie. Schriftenreihe des Bundeskriminalamtes, Bundeskriminalamt, Wiesbaden, pp. 13-39. und 81-85.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Rolfes, M. (2003): Sicherheit und Kriminalität in Deutschen Städten. Über die Schwierigkeiten, ein soziales Phänomen räumlich zu fixieren. Berichte zur deutschen Landeskunde 4. pp. 329-348.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Schwind, H. D. (2000): Raumstruktur und Kriminalität (Kriminalgeographie). - In: H. D. Schwind.: Kriminologie: Eine praxisorientierte

Einführung mit Beispielen. Kriminalistik Verlag, Heidelberg, pp. 289-307.

10 Kobolka I-Ritecz Gy -Sallai J. (2003): The Criminal Geography of the Borders of the Republic of Hungary at the Millennium. Európa 2002 2. pp. 35-44.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Herbert, H. (1982): The Geography of Urban Crime. Longman, London, pp.120.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Kovács Z. (1990): A bűnözésföldrajz szerepe a városi környezetminősítésben. Műhely 6. MTA FKI, Budapest, pp. 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Berényi I. (1992). Az alkalmazott szociálgeográfia elméleti és módszertani kérdései. Ákadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, pp.165.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Mátyás Sz. (2007): A határon átnyúló magyar-román rendvédelmi együttműködések bűnözésföldrajzi kérdései. Kossuth Egyetemi Kiadó, Debrecen, pp. 317-321.

<sup>15</sup> http://www.hir24.hu/baleset-bunugy/2012/12/17/sokkolo-magyarorszag-bunugyi-terkepe (megnyitva 2013. 05. 30.)

Gábor ERDEI

Budapest, 2013. 6. évfolyam 2. szám

1	T	T		1
General social and	Regional social and	Sectoral social and		
economic	economic	economic	Social geography	Human geography
geography	geography	geography		
General human geography	Human races geography	Free-time attitude geography	Population geography	Health geography
Etnic geography	Language geography	Cultural geography	Geography of the European institutions	Art geography
Creation geography	Religion geography	General religion geography	Bible geography	Regional geography settlement eography
City geography	General settlement geography	Village geography	City geography	City Marketing
City ecology	Political geography	Election geography	Security geography	Public administration geography
Military geography	Applied military geography	General military geography	Social geography	Crime geography
Perception geography	Behavior geography	Feminist geography	Economic geography	Sectoral economic geography
General economic geography	Dynamic economic geography	Regional economic geography	World economy geography	Prime sector geography
Agriculture geography	Forest adminsitration geography	Fishing geography	Geography of Viticulture and Oenology	Second sector geography
Industry geography	Mine geography	Industrial park geography	Natural resources geography	Geography of the third sector (infrastructure Geography)
Traffic geography	Telecommunication geography	Trade geography	Tourism geography	Fourt sector's geography
Innovation geography	History geography	Prospography		

Possible place of crime geography in social and economic geography 's scheme made by Vofkori László (2003)<sup>16</sup>

Vofkori L. (2003): A földrajztudomány rendszertana Pro-Print Könyvkiadó Csíkszereda pp. 25-28.

Gábor ERDEI

Budapest, 2013. 6. évfolyam 2. szám

#### THE EXTENSION OF THE CRIME

For the experts who examine the social processes it has been an evident fact from the results of different researches that the frequency of each process is different in time and space. This natural fact is significant int he negative, within it int he deviant behaviors.

The differences of the frequency of negative attitudes, according to age, civil state, qualification, financial and social status, are facts that the different sciences often examine. The examination of the territorial differences is justified by the fact that the differences of negative attitudes are different demographicly and territorily too.

Vavró István (1985)<sup>17</sup> came to the cnclusion already int he 80s that behind the territorial differences of the processes are the differences of structures of the mentioned caracters of the population. The major causes of the territorial differences of the phenomenom can be explained by the population structures of different territories.

Analysing the territorial datas it is important to examine the subject of the crime because behind the differences of territorial units we can percive not only the different f crime frequency of the population but the territorial difference of the proportion of resolving the cases. We examine the territorial differences of the crime ont he base of the quantity of crime and criminals.

The examination of each territorial unit is necessary because the picture of crime, its volume and frequency, is often far too general and it does not point to to the considerable the territorial differences.

Habony János (1986),<sup>18</sup> in his examination of the crime geography, caracterized the extension of the crime with two indicators. According to this it can be defined, on one hand, by the number of crime activities committed in a defined territory and time and, ont he other hand, by the number of those committing crime during the same period of tima and int he the same territory.

The dadas themselves do not mean much because they can show the volume of the crime and the importance and direction of the activities dangerous to the society only in comparison with other datas.

Tremmel Flórián, Fenyvesi Csaba and Herke Csongor (2005)<sup>19</sup> steted in their commonly made work that the most fundamental instrument of the crime geography is the crime register. The crime register is full of sytemized datas.

These datas are collected in case of helping the prevention, the scouting and data provision. It is extremely important because putting the datas into well managed structure makes it possible to make deductions and conclusions regarding to the criminals and conditions of crime activities.

#### **SUMMARY**

The geography is a science which deals with the constant description, interpretation, change and scientific evaluation of the state the earth. It pays special attention to the contacts between the geaographical environment and the society living in it. The geography sumarizes, systemizes and conveys the information necessary for the people to create their view about the world. The phenomenoms of the earth can be known only by the means of the natural sciences that is why the natural geograpgy belongs to the natural sciences.

The social geography, which studies the interrelations developed between the geographical environments and the funtioning of the society, resolves its research tasks by using methods of social sciences so it is part of social sciences. Some scientists say that crime geography is part of criminology but crime map is an instruments used on the scientific

<sup>18</sup> Habony J.(1986) A beilleszkedés és a bűnözés Zrínyi Katonai Kiadó Budapest pp.21-22.

Vavró I. (1985): A bűnözés területi különbsége Statisztikai Szemle 1985/10. pp. 988-1000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Tremmel F.-Fenyvesi Cs.-Herke Cs. (2005): Kriminalisztika Tankönyv és Atlasz Dialóg Campus Kiadó Budapest-Pécs pp. 228.

Gábor ERDEI

Budapest, 2013. 6. évfolyam 2. szám

field of geography. Others state that crime geography is nothing else than a map deriving from the comparison of climate, terrain/soil, landscape, history, economy and demographic characters.

From the study of the relation between crime and geographical place one can coclude to the volume of the crime. Some experts say that the crime geography is part of the criminology and not of geography. Others point out that criminal geography is one branch of criminology and one has to interprate it by using the units of the attitude, space and time.

The crime geography can be considered as a branch of the socialgeography which studies the spacial and time aspects of the crime as social mass phenomenom. Between criminology and socialgeography the is mutual relationship. We study the territorial differences of the crime on the base of the number of the crime and criminals/perpetrators. Studying the the different territorial units is necessary because the picture about the volume and the frequency of the crime is too general and it does not provide a precise picture about the teriitorial differences. The datas themselves do not mean much.

The can give us important information only if we compare them with other datas and that is the way how we we can make confusions to the volume of the crime, the importance of the crimes and the direction of the changes. The crime register is a very important instrument of crime geography. This register can be uploaded by focused data-collection which is supporting the crime prevention and the intelligence.

Key words: geography, geographical enviroment, living society, natural geography, sociel geography, crime geography, field of crime, security geography, artificial science field, sociology, geography of sociology, extension of crime, crime register

#### REFERENCE

- Berényi I. (1992): Az alkalmazott szociálgeográfia elméleti és módszertani kérdései. Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, pp.
   165.http://ttmk.nye.hu/: A regionális és az általános ágazati földrajz feladata (megnyitva: 2011. 02. 23.)
- Habony J.(1986) A beilleszkedés és a bűnözés Zrínyi Katonai Kiadó Budapest pp.21-22.
- Hellmer, J. (1972): Kriminalitätsatlas der Bundesrepublik Deutschland und West-Berlins. Ein Beitrag zur Kriminalgeographie.
   Schriftenreihe des Bundeskriminalamtes, Bundeskriminalamt, Wiesbaden, pp. 13-39. und 81-85.
- Henting, H.V. (1961): Der kriminelle Mensch im Kräftespiel von Zeit und Raum. In: Das Verbrechen 1. Berlin, pp. 212.
- Herbert, H. (1982): The Geography of Urban Crime. Longman, London, pp.120.
- Herold, H (1973): Földrajz és bűnözés. Belügyi Szemle Tájékoztató 17. szám pp. 79-85.
- Herold, H. (1977): Die Bedeutung der Kriminalgeographie für die polizeiliche Praxis. Kriminalistik 7. pp. 289-296.
- Kerezsi K.(2003): A kriminológiai és a büntetőpolitika kapcsolata. Kriminológiai tanulmányok 40. OKRI, Budapest, pp. 121 159.
- Kobolka I-Ritecz Gy -Sallai J. (2003): The Criminal Geography of the Borders of the Republic of Hungary at the Millennium.
   Európa 2002 2. pp. 35-44.
- Kovács Z. (1990): A bűnözésföldrajz szerepe a városi környezetminősítésben. Műhely 6. MTA FKI, Budapest, pp. 13.
- Mátyás Sz. (2007): A határon átnyúló magyar-román rendvédelmi együttműködések bűnözésföldrajzi kérdései. Kossuth Egyetemi Kiadó, Debrecen, pp. 317-321.

Gábor ERDEI

Budapest, 2013. 6. évfolyam 2. szám

- Rolfes, M. (2003): Sicherheit und Kriminalität in Deutschen Städten. Über die Schwierigkeiten, ein soziales Phänomen räumlich zu fixieren. Berichte zur deutschen Landeskunde 4. pp. 329-348.
- Schwind, H. D. (2000): Raumstruktur und Kriminalität (Kriminalgeographie). In: H. D. Schwind.: Kriminologie: Eine praxisorientierte Einführung mit Beispielen. Kriminalistik Verlag, Heidelberg, pp. 289-307.
- Tóth A.(2007): A bűnözés térbeli aspektusainak szociálgeográfiai vizsgálata Hajdú-Bihar megyében Debreceni Egyetem pp.
   8-10.
- Tremmel F.-Fenyvesi Cs.-Herke Cs. (2005): Kriminalisztika Tankönyv és Atlasz Dialóg Campus Kiadó Budapest-Pécs pp.228.
- Vavró I. (1985): A bűnözés területi különbsége Statisztikai Szemle 1985/10. pp. 988-1000.
- Vofkori L. (2003): A földrajztudomány rendszertana Pro-Print Könyvkiadó Csíkszereda pp. 25-28.
- http://www.hir24.hu/baleset-bunugy/2012/12/17/sokkolo-magyarorszag-bunugyi-terkepe: Magyroszág bűnügyi térképe
   (megnyitva: 2013. 05. 30.)