# DFT CALCULATIONS ON MOLECULAR STRUCTURES OF <br> "SELF-ASSEMBLED" METALMACROCYCLES IN M(II)-DITHIOOXAMIDE-PROPANE-1,3-DIOL(M=3D METAL) SYSTEMS 

Oleg V. Mikhailov ${ }^{[\mathrm{a}]^{*}}$ and Denis V. Chachkov ${ }^{[b]}$

Keywords: self-assembly; metalmacrocyclic chelate; 3d-element; dithiooxamide; propandiol-1,3; DFT OPBE/TZVP method; molecular structure.


#### Abstract

Using density functional theory (DFT) at the OPBE/TZP level, the calculation of the geometric parameters of the molecular structures of M (II) (5656)macrotetracyclic complexes with a tetradentate macrocyclic ligand with (NNNN)-coordination of donor centers, resulting from "self-assembly" process in $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{II})$ - dithiooxamide- propandiol-1,3 ternary systems, where $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Zn}$ was performed. The calculation of the molecular structure of this ligand itself was also carried out. The values of the bond lengths, bond and torsion angles in the resulting complexes and macrocyclic ligand indicated above, are presented. The values of the standard enthalpy, entropy and Gibbs free energy of formation of these compounds were also calculated.
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## Introduction

Previously a quantum-chemical calculations of the selected (5656) M(II) macrotetracyclic metalchelates of types I and II (where $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Zn}$ ), each with two five-membered and two six-membered metal chelate cycles arranged symmetrically to each other, namely those that are formed in "self-assembly" processes (1). ${ }^{1}$

and (2) $)^{2,3}$


were carried out. Macrocycles of chelants (ligands resulting from "self-assembly") consisted of 14 atoms, and coordination of chelants to $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{II})$ was carried out through four nitrogen atoms. When in the replacing formaldehyde in the reaction (1) or acetone in the reaction (2) with propandiol-1,3 $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OH})-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}(\mathrm{OH})$, (5656) macrotetracyclic metalchelates of type III can arise according to the "self-assembly" process (3) where chelant also contains a 14 -membered macrocycle and, also, is coordinated to $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{II})$ through four nitrogen atoms:



The principal possibility to form such complexes was mentioned in review. ${ }^{4}$ In this connection it is very interesting to get and analyze the objective data on structural and geometric parameters of these metal chelates using nonempirical quantum-chemical calculation. This is just the subject of this article.

## Method

As in previous our article ${ }^{5}$ to carry out quantum-chemical calculations, the density functional theory (DFT) was applied. It combined the standard extended TZVP splitvalence basis sets, described in papers ${ }^{6,7}$ and non-hybrid OPBE functional described in Refs. ${ }^{8,9}$ According to publications, ${ }^{9-13}$ in the case of $3 d$-element complexes, this method gives more accurate ratio of the high-spin state energy stability to the low-spin one as compared to the most popular B3LYP method. At the same time, DFT method accurately characterizes the basic geometric parameters of the molecular structures of the indicated compounds. The calculations were performed using the Gaussian09 program. ${ }^{14}$ As in previous article, ${ }^{5}$ the correspondence of the obtained stationary points to the energy minima in all cases was proved by the calculation of the second energy derivatives in coordinates of atoms. Thus, all the equilibrium structures, corresponding to the minimum points on the potential energy surfaces, only had real positive values of frequency.

## Results and Discussion

According to our calculations, the ground state of the Mn (II) complex is a spin sextet and it is high-spin complex. For the Fe(II) complex, a ground state is spin triplet and it occupies an intermediate position between the low-spin and high-spin complexes. The ground states for the $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes are a spin doublet and a spin singlet, respectively, so both of them are low-spin. As for the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes, spin doublet and singlet, respectively, are their ground states in full agreement with theoretical expectations. In this case, the energy difference of structures with spin multiplicity (which is different from the ground state multiplicity) [quartet in the Mn (II), quintet in the $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II})$, quartet in the Co (II), triplet in the $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$, quartet in the $\mathrm{Cu}($ II $)$ and triplet in the $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ ] is $20.2,24.2,51.4,68.8,71.9$ and 62.3 kJ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ respectively. There is also a very negligible (more than 30 kJ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) energy difference between the ground and the nearest different from it in spin multiplicity excited states; it is only less than this value in the case of the Mn (II) and Fe (II) complexes.

Calculated bond lengths, bond (valence) angles and torsion (dihedral) angles for $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes of type III are listed in Tables 1-3. Their molecular structures are outwardly very similar to each other. Metal-nitrogen $d(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N})$ bond lengths in these macrotetracyclic coordination compounds do not coincide between each other; besides, the shortest M-N lengths can be observed in the $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ complex, the longest - in the Mn (II) one. In average, in the $\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{Zn}$ series they decrease in the proceeding from Mn to Ni , and increase in the proceeding from Ni to Zn (Table 1). However, it should be noted in this connection that $d(\mathrm{M} 1 \mathrm{~N} 3)$ and $d(\mathrm{M} 1 \mathrm{~N} 4)$ values in the same
series decrease in the proceeding from Mn to Fe , increase in the proceeding from Fe to Co , decrease in the proceeding from Co to Ni , increase in the proceeding from Ni to Cu , and, finally, decrease in the proceeding from Cu to Zn . Besides, in the each of these complexes a pairwise equality of $d(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N})$ values, namely, (M1N1) and (M1N2), (M1N3) and (M1N4), is observed; similar situation takes place for series of pair C$\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S}$ bond lengths, for example (N1C5) and (C6N2), (C8C9) and (C9C7), (C1S4) and (C4S3) (Table 1). M (II) chelates under study have either a pyramidal [in the case of $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ ] or quasi-planar [in the case of $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})]$ coordination of the ligand donor centers relative to M [the sum of the four (N1M1N2), (N2M1N3), (N3M1N4) and (N5M1N2) bond angles, formed by donor atoms and M(II) ion (VAS) is equal to $340.6^{\circ}(\mathrm{Mn})$, $356.3^{\circ}(\mathrm{Fe}), 358.6^{\circ}(\mathrm{Co}), 358.7^{\circ}(\mathrm{Ni}), 356.2^{\circ}(\mathrm{Cu}), 352.2^{\circ}$ $(\mathrm{Zn})$ ].

It should be noted that only two of the above mentioned bond angles, namely, (N2M1N3) and (N4M1N1), have equal values (Table 2). However, the sum of the internal (non-bond) (N1N2N3), (N2N3N4), (N3N4N1) and (N4N1N2) (NVAS) angles in any of these complexes is exactly $360.0^{\circ}$; consequently, the group of $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ donor atoms can be considered as strong planar. It is noteworthy that in the five of six complexes a pairwise equality of these non-bond angles, namely, (N1N2N3) and (N4N1N2), (N2N3N4) and ( N 3 N 4 N 1 ) occurs, and in the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ complex all these nonbond angles even are quite equally (each in $90^{\circ}$ ) (Table 2). The degree of deviation from co-planarity of each of the five-membered metal chelate rings in all these complexes is rather considerable (the sums of bond angles $\mathrm{VAS}_{51}$ and

Table 1. Bond lengths in the $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes of type III.

| M | Mn | Fe | Co | Ni | Cu | Zn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bond lengths in the $\mathrm{MN}_{4}$ chelate node, pm |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (M1N1) | 236.6 | 200.1 | 196.4 | 193.4 | 210.0 | 224.8 |
| (M1N2) | 236.6 | 200.1 | 196.4 | 193.4 | 210.0 | 224.8 |
| (M1N3) | 201.4 | 187.2 | 187.8 | 186.4 | 194.4 | 194.2 |
| (M1N4) | 201.4 | 187.2 | 187.8 | 186.4 | 194.4 | 194.2 |
| Selected bond lengths outside of the chelate node, pm |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (N1C5) | 148.1 | 149.3 | 149.2 | 149.4 | 148.9 | 148.7 |
| (C5C10) | 152.5 | 152.7 | 152.7 | 152.4 | 152.5 | 152.5 |
| (C10C6) | 152.5 | 152.7 | 152.7 | 152.4 | 152.5 | 152.5 |
| (C6N2) | 148.1 | 149.3 | 149.2 | 149.4 | 148.9 | 148.7 |
| (N2C3) | 141.8 | 144.8 | 145.6 | 145.7 | 143.1 | 143.0 |
| (C3C4) | 150.1 | 148.2 | 147.9 | 147.5 | 149.2 | 150.0 |
| (C4N3) | 133.5 | 135.6 | 134.5 | 134.6 | 133.4 | 133.6 |
| (N3C8) | 145.4 | 144.9 | 144.7 | 144.6 | 144.7 | 145.2 |
| (C8C9) | 153.0 | 151.8 | 151.8 | 151.6 | 152.4 | 153.0 |
| (C9C7) | 153.0 | 151.8 | 151.8 | 151.6 | 152.4 | 153.0 |
| (C7N4) | 145.4 | 144.9 | 144.7 | 144.6 | 144.7 | 145.2 |
| (N4C1) | 133.5 | 135.6 | 134.5 | 134.6 | 133.4 | 133.6 |
| ( C 1 C 2$)$ | 150.1 | 148.2 | 147.9 | 147.5 | 149.2 | 150.0 |
| (C2N1) | 141.8 | 144.8 | 145.6 | 145.7 | 143.1 | 143.0 |
| (C2S1) | 164.3 | 163.7 | 163.2 | 163.1 | 163.5 | 163.6 |
| (C1S4) | 167.0 | 166.8 | 167.4 | 167.4 | 167.6 | 167.0 |
| (C3S2) | 164.3 | 163.7 | 163.2 | 163.1 | 163.5 | 163.6 |
| (C4S3) | 167.0 | 166.8 | 167.4 | 167.4 | 167.6 | 167.0 |

Table 2. Bond angles in the M(II) complexes of type III.

| M | $\mathbf{M n}$ | Fe | Co | Ni | $\mathbf{C u}$ | Zn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bond angles in the $\mathrm{MN}_{4}$ chelate node, deg |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (N1M1N2) | 83.2 | 89.3 | 90.4 | 90.3 | 90.7 | 85.7 |
| (N2M1N3) | 79.0 | 84.3 | 84.5 | 84.5 | 82.6 | 81.1 |
| (N3M1N4) | 99.4 | 98.4 | 99.0 | 99.4 | 100.3 | 104.3 |
| (N4M1N1) | 79.0 | 84.3 | 84.5 | 84.5 | 82.6 | 81.1 |
| VAS | 340.6 | 356.3 | 358.4 | 358.7 | 356.2 | 352.2 |
| Non-bond angles in the $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ group, deg |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (N2N3N6) | 89.3 | 90.2 | 90.8 | 91.1 | 90.0 | 90.1 |
| (N3N6N5) | 90.7 | 89.8 | 89.2 | 88.9 | 90.0 | 89.9 |
| (N6N5N2) | 90.7 | 89.8 | 89.2 | 88.9 | 90.0 | 89.9 |
| (N5N2N3) | 89.3 | 90.2 | 90.8 | 91.1 | 90.0 | 90.1 |
| VAS | 360.0 | 360.0 | 360.0 | 360.0 | 360.0 | 360.0 |
| Bond angles in the 5-membered chelate ring 1, deg |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (M1N2C2) | 92.4 | 103.3 | 105.6 | 107.4 | 103.0 | 98.0 |
| ( N 1 C 2 C 1$)$ | 112.7 | 111.3 | 111.0 | 110.6 | 112.3 | 112.6 |
| (C2C1N4) | 110.4 | 110.8 | 110.5 | 110.7 | 111.5 | 110.7 |
| (C1N4M1) | 115.7 | 116.0 | 116.6 | 117.1 | 116.0 | 117.2 |
| (N4M1N1) | 79.0 | 84.3 | 84.5 | 84.5 | 82.6 | 81.1 |
| $\mathrm{VAS}_{51}$ | 510.2 | 525.7 | 528.2 | 530.3 | 525.3 | 519.6 |
| Bond angles in the 5-membered chelate ring 2, deg |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (M1N2C3) | 92.4 | 103.3 | 105.6 | 107.4 | 103.0 | 98.0 |
| (N2C3C4) | 112.7 | 111.3 | 111.0 | 110.6 | 112.3 | 112.6 |
| (C3C4N3) | 110.4 | 110.8 | 110.5 | 110.7 | 111.5 | 110.7 |
| (C4N3M1) | 115.7 | 116.0 | 116.6 | 117.1 | 116.0 | 117.2 |
| (N3M1N2) | 79.0 | 84.3 | 84.5 | 84.5 | 82.6 | 81.1 |
| $\mathrm{VAS}_{52}$ | 510.2 | 525.7 | 528.2 | 530.3 | 525.3 | 519.6 |
| Bond angles in the 6-membered chelate ring 1, deg |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (M1N1C5) | 104.0 | 102.0 | 102.5 | 104.1 | 100.2 | 101.2 |
| (N1C5C10) | 112.9 | 110.9 | 110.9 | 110.8 | 112.1 | 112.5 |
| (C5C10C6) | 117.7 | 116.8 | 117.0 | 116.5 | 117.7 | 117.7 |
| (C10C6N2) | 112.9 | 110.9 | 110.9 | 110.8 | 112.1 | 112.5 |
| (C6N2M1) | 104.0 | 102.0 | 102.5 | 104.1 | 100.2 | 101.2 |
| (N2M1N1) | 83.2 | 89.3 | 90.4 | 90.3 | 90.7 | 85.7 |
| $\mathrm{VAS}_{61}$ | 634.7 | 631.9 | 634.2 | 636.6 | 633.0 | 630.8 |


| Bond angles in the 6-membered chelate ring 2, deg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| (M1N4C7) | 121.0 | 125.4 | 124.5 | 124.5 | 122.0 | 119.1 |  |
| (N4C7C9) | 112.6 | 112.1 | 112.3 | 112.4 | 112.4 | 112.6 |  |
| (C7C9C8) | 117.8 | 115.1 | 114.8 | 114.5 | 116.7 | 118.0 |  |
| (C9C8N3) | 112.6 | 112.1 | 112.3 | 112.4 | 112.4 | 112.6 |  |
| (C8N3M1) | 121.0 | 125.4 | 124.5 | 124.5 | 122.0 | 119.1 |  |
| (N3M1N4) | 99.4 | 98.4 | 99.0 | 99.4 | 100.3 | 104.3 |  |
| VAS $_{62}$ | 684.4 | 688.5 | 687.4 | 687.7 | 685.8 | 685.7 |  |
| Bond angles outside of the chelate rings, deg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (C5N1C2) | 120.1 | 118.0 | 116.9 | 115.3 | 117.4 | 118.3 |  |
| (N1C2S1) | 120.6 | 119.5 | 119.3 | 119.4 | 120.2 | 120.3 |  |
| (S1C2C1) | 126.1 | 129.0 | 129.5 | 129.9 | 127.3 | 126.7 |  |
| (C2C1S4) | 118.8 | 121.4 | 121.1 | 121.5 | 120.6 | 119.6 |  |
| (S4C1N4) | 130.7 | 127.8 | 128.4 | 127.9 | 127.9 | 129.7 |  |
| (C1N4C7) | 120.6 | 118.5 | 118.5 | 118.3 | 120.6 | 121.0 |  |
| (C4N3C8) | 120.6 | 118.5 | 118.5 | 118.3 | 120.6 | 121.0 |  |
| (C6N2C3) | 120.1 | 118.0 | 116.9 | 115.3 | 117.4 | 118.3 |  |
| (N2C3S2) | 120.6 | 119.5 | 119.3 | 119.4 | 120.2 | 120.3 |  |
| (S2C3C4) | 126.1 | 129.0 | 129.5 | 129.9 | 127.3 | 126.7 |  |
| (C3C4S3) | 118.8 | 121.4 | 121.1 | 121.5 | 120.6 | 119.6 |  |
| (S3C4N3) | 130.7 | 127.8 | 128.4 | 127.9 | 127.9 | 129.7 |  |

$\mathrm{VAS}_{52}$ differ from the sum of the interior angles in a plane pentagon $\left(540^{\circ}\right)$ at least by $9.7^{\circ}$ ). Nevertheless, $\operatorname{VAS}_{51}$ and $\mathrm{VAS}_{52}$ in each of the metal chelates under study are equal each other (Table 2). The distortion is even more in the sixmembered cycles, where the sums of the bond angles $\mathrm{VAS}_{61}$ and $\mathrm{VAS}_{62}$ differ from the sum of the interior angles in a flat hexagon $\left(720^{\circ}\right)$ by not less than $30^{\circ}$. It is significant that similar bond angles both within the chelate cycles and outside of them, in all of these complexes are pairwise equal to each other. The same equality between bond lengths, forming sides of these angles, is also observed. So the bond angle values both within the chelate cycles and outside of them only slightly depend on the nature of the M (II) ion. The values of torsion angles, which are often strongly different both from $0^{\circ}$ and $180^{\circ}$, confirm the general non-coplanarity of molecular structures of complexes having general formula I (Table 3). As an example the structures of the $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ chelates are shown in Fig. 1-3.

As you can see from them, all coordination compounds under examination are non-coplanar, have only one element of symmetry, namely symmetry flatness.


Figure 1. The molecular structure of the $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ complex of type III.


Figure 2. The molecular structure of the $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ complex of type III.

[^0]Table 3. Torsion (dihedral) angles in the M(II) complexes of type III.

| M | Mn | Fe |  |  | Cu | Zn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Torsion (dihedral) angles, deg |  |  |  |
| (N1M1N3C8) | 92.1 | 98.1 | 104.2 | 111.8 | 98.1 | 101.8 |
| (N2M1N4C7) | -92.1 | -98.1 | -104.2 | -111.8 | -98.1 | -101.8 |
| (M1N1C5C10) | 72.0 | 70.1 | 69.1 | 68.8 | 69.7 | 72.0 |
| (M1N2C6C10) | -72.0 | -70.1 | -69.1 | -68.8 | -69.7 | -72.0 |
| (N1C5C10C6) | -71.1 | -61.5 | -60.2 | -59.2 | -66.0 | -68.3 |
| (N2C6C10C5) | 71.1 | 61.5 | 60.2 | 59.2 | 66.0 | 68.3 |
| (M1N3C8C9) | 24.0 | 29.4 | 31.9 | 30.7 | 30.4 | 28.5 |
| (M1N4C7C9) | -24.0 | -29.4 | -31.9 | -30.7 | -30.4 | -28.5 |
| (N3C8C9C7) | -68.6 | -63.3 | -64.2 | -64.0 | -67.0 | -68.1 |
| (N4C7C9C8) | 68.6 | 63.3 | 64.2 | 64.0 | 67.0 | 68.1 |
| (M1N1C2C1) | 55.3 | 40.0 | 36.6 | 33.1 | 39.5 | 45.4 |
| (M1N4C1C2) | 18.4 | 7.3 | 12.4 | 11.8 | 17.6 | 20.5 |
| (N1C2C1N4) | -57.5 | -33.0 | -33.1 | -29.8 | -40.2 | -48.5 |
| (M1N1C2S1) | -116.6 | -135.5 | -138.4 | -143.3 | -135.0 | -127.6 |
| (M1N4C1S4) | -157.9 | -171.7 | -166.3 | -167.6 | -162.0 | -157.3 |
| (M1N2C3C4) | -55.3 | -40.0 | -36.6 | -33.1 | -39.5 | -45.4 |
| (M1N3C4C3) | -18.4 | -7.3 | -12.4 | -11.8 | -17.6 | -20.5 |
| (N2C3C4N3) | 57.5 | 33.0 | 33.1 | 29.8 | 40.2 | 48.5 |
| (M1N2C3S2) | 116.6 | 135.5 | 138.4 | 143.3 | 135.0 | 127.6 |
| (M1N3C4S3) | 157.9 | 171.7 | 166.3 | 167.6 | 162.0 | 157.3 |
| (S1C2C1S4) | -69.3 | -38.9 | -39.8 | -34.3 | -46.6 | -58.0 |
| (S2C3C4S3) | 69.3 | 38.9 | 39.8 | 34.3 | 46.6 | 58.0 |



Figure 3. The molecular structure of the $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ complex of type III.
In this connection, for all these complexes, rather high values of the electric dipole moment ( $\mu$ ) can be expected. And the OPBE/TZVP calculation method fully confirms these expectations, as calculated values of $\mu$ are very high and are 4.15, 5.80, 5.94, 5.73, 5.34 and 4.80 Debye units for the $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes respectively.

The values of the key thermodynamic parameters of the examined metalchelates (standard enthalpy, entropy and Gibbs energy of formation) are given in Table 4. As can be seen, all of them are positive, which shows that they can not be formed from individual elements and suggests that the above-mentioned template synthesis process (3) is likely to
be thermodynamically forbidden for its implementation in a solution or a solid phase. However, it seems to be quite possible under the specific conditions of complex formation in the organizing systems, based on the metal-complex gelatin-immobilized matrices. ${ }^{4}$

Table 4. Standard enthalpy $\Delta H_{f, 298}^{0}$, entropy $\Delta S^{0}{ }_{\mathrm{f}, 298}$ and Gibbs energy $\Delta G^{0}{ }_{f, 298}$ for the formation of the various M(II) chelates of type III.

| M | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta H_{f, 298}^{0}, \\ & \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta \mathbf{S}_{f, 298}^{0}, \\ & \mathbf{J} \mathbf{~ m o l}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta G_{f, 298}^{0}, \\ & \mathbf{k J ~ m o l}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mn | 490.8 | 740.1 | 487.5 |
| Fe | 243.9 | 762.5 | 235.5 |
| Co | 394.4 | 735.6 | 392.7 |
| Ni | 431.9 | 732.4 | 432.0 |
| Cu | 424.8 | 727.6 | 426.2 |
| Zn | 552.0 | 739.0 | 551.0 |

The calculation of the chelant IV molecular structure which may be considered as part of the metalmacrocyclic chelates, being under study, showed that it is not planar by itself. The molecular structure of IV is shown in Fig. 4. It should be noted in this connection that sum of internal bond angles $\left(\mathrm{VAS}_{14}\right)$ in the fourteen-membered macrocycle in this chelant, and in all metallachelates under examination is less than the sum of the internal angles of a flat fourteen-sided polygon, equal to $2160^{\circ}$, and this difference is quite significant (in the chelant - by $82.6^{\circ}$, in the Mn (II) complex - by $68.7^{\circ}$, in the Fe (II) complex - by $70.9^{\circ}$, in the Co(II) complex - by $69.6^{\circ}$, in the $\mathrm{Ni}($ II $)$ complex - by $67.2^{\circ}$, in the $\mathrm{Cu}($ II $)$ complex - by $65.0^{\circ}$, in the $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ complex - by $66.5^{\circ}$ ) (Table 5). Besides, in the each of complexes the deviation from the value of $2160^{\circ}$ is less than in chelant IV.

Table 5. Bond angles of fourteen-membered macrocycle in chelant IV and type III complexes formed by it

| Bond angle | Chelant | Mn | Fe | Co | $\mathbf{N i}$ | $\mathbf{C u}$ | $\mathbf{Z n}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (C10C6N2) | 115.0 | 112.9 | 110.9 | 110.9 | 110.8 | 112.1 |  |
| (C6N2C3) | 228.0 | 239.9 | 242.0 | 243.1 | 244.7 | 242.6 | 112.5 |
| (N2C3C4) | 120.3 | 112.7 | 111.3 | 111.0 | 110.6 | 112.3 | 112.6 |
| (C3C4N3) | 111.6 | 110.4 | 110.8 | 110.5 | 110.7 | 111.5 | 110.7 |
| (C4N3C8) | 233.7 | 239.4 | 241.5 | 241.5 | 241.7 | 239.4 | 239.0 |
| (N3C8C9) | 112.8 | 112.6 | 112.1 | 112.3 | 112.4 | 112.4 | 112.6 |
| (C8C9C7) | 116.6 | 117.8 | 115.1 | 114.8 | 114.5 | 116.7 | 118.0 |
| (C9C7N4) | 112.8 | 112.6 | 112.1 | 112.3 | 112.4 | 112.4 | 112.6 |
| (C7N4C1) | 233.7 | 239.4 | 241.5 | 241.5 | 241.7 | 239.4 | 239.0 |
| (N4C1C2) | 111.6 | 110.4 | 110.8 | 110.5 | 110.7 | 111.5 | 110.7 |
| (C1C2N1) | 120.3 | 112.7 | 111.3 | 111.0 | 110.6 | 112.3 | 112.6 |
| (C2N1C5) | 228.0 | 239.9 | 242.0 | 243.1 | 244.7 | 242.6 | 241.7 |
| (N1C5C10) | 115.0 | 112.9 | 110.9 | 110.9 | 110.8 | 112.1 | 112.5 |
| (C5C10C6) | 117.8 | 117.7 | 116.8 | 117.0 | 116.5 | 117.7 | 117.7 |
| Angles sum(VAS 14$)$ | 2077.4 | 2091.3 | 2089.1 | 2090.4 | 2092.8 | 2095.0 | 2093.9 |



Figure 4. The molecular structure of the chelant IV.
In this regard, it can be stated that the complex formation of the above mentioned M (II) ions with chelant IV is accompanied by decrease of its structure distortion degree.
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[^0]:    $\mathrm{VAS}=$ valence angle sum

